

TEST REPORT

CLIENT:	Mannington Commercial	REPORT NUMBER:	61473A-01
	PO Box 12281	LAB TEST NUMBER:	2630-0408
	Calhoun GA 30703-7004	DATE:	August 6, 2014

TEST MATERIAL:

Style	Backing
Philadelphia	Infinity Modular

SUBJECT: Testing Services Inc was instructed by the client to perform a procedure for measuring the

critical radiant flux of horizontally mounted floor-covering systems exposed to a flaming

ignition source in a graded radiant heat energy environment in a test chamber.

SCOPE OF TEST: This fire test standard is designed to provide a basis for estimating one aspect of the fire

exposure behavior of a floor-covering system installed in a building corridor.

<u>TEST METHOD:</u> ASTM E648: Standard Test Method for Critical Radiant Flux of Floor Covering Systems

Using a Radiant Heat Energy Source

<u>TEST INFORMATION:</u> Specimens of the sample were tested for critical radiant flux in accordance with ASTM Test

Method E-648, NFPA 253 and FTM Standard 372. The value reported is the average of three specimens, reported as Critical Radiant Flux in units of watts per centimeter squared (W/cm²).

Mounting Board: Astone Fabricators Inc. (AFI) Tunnel Board Z Calcium Silicate Board

<u>Adhesive:</u> Infinity

<u>Trowel:</u> 1/16" x 1/32" x 1/32"

Conditioning: Minimum 96 hrs @ 70°F 50% RH

<u>CLASSIFICATIONS:</u> NFPA: Class I= 0.45 W/cm² or higher

Class II = 0.22 – 0.44 W/cm² No Classification= <0.21 W/cm²

TEST DATA:

Specimen	Time	Distance	Critical Radiant Flux
#1	16 min	23.8 cm	0.79 W/cm ²
#2	14 min	21.5 cm	0.83 W/cm ²
#3	14 min	23.6 cm	0.80 W/cm ²
Standard Deviation: 0.02 Coefficient of Variation: 2.87%			

TEST RESULTS:

Average Critical Radiant Flux	NFPA Classification	
0.81 W/cm ²	I	

Erle Miles, Jr V.P., Testing Services Inc

TSi Accreditation: Our laboratory is accredited with US Dept of Commerce, National Institute of Standards and

Technology: ISO/IEC 17025:2005. Our code # is NVLAP 100108-0. However, it should be noted that some or all of the tests performed are not under our scope of accreditation due to the work not

fully conforming to the standard, or it being outside the scope of our accreditation, or

subcontracted. The above testing was under our scope of accreditation.

Uncertainty: We undertake all assignments for our clients on a best effort basis. Our findings and judgments are

based on the information to us using the latest test methods available.